

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST No. M 07-1827 SI
9 LITIGATION
10 _____/ MDL No. 1827

11 This Order Relates to:

ORDER RE: CHAMBERS COPIES

12 All Direct-Action Plaintiff Cases
13 _____/

14 The Court has just received the chambers copies of defendants' joint motion for summary
15 judgment in *Motorola Mobility, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corp., et al.*, Case No. 09-5840. In the interest
16 of saving time, expense, and paper, the parties need only provide the Court with one chambers copy of
17 any filing made in the direct-action plaintiff cases. Separate chambers copies for both the direct-action
18 case number and the MDL case number are not required.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21 Dated: April 9, 2012

22 
23 _____
24 SUSAN ILLSTON
25 United States District Judge
26
27
28